

# THE BALIAN-LOW THEOREM AND NONCOMMUTATIVE TORI

FRANZ LUEF

**ABSTRACT.** We point out a link between the theorem of Balian and Low on the non-existence of well-localized Gabor-Riesz bases and a constant curvature connection on projective modules over noncommutative tori.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The theorem of Balian-Low on the non-existence of well-localized Gabor-Riesz bases for  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  is one of the cornerstones of time-frequency analysis [2, 23]. For the formulation we first introduce the multiplication operator and differentiation operator, denoted by  $(\nabla_1 g)(t) = 2\pi i t g(t)$  and  $(\nabla_2 g)(t) = g'(t)$ , respectively. Let  $\pi(z)g(t) = e^{2\pi i \omega t} g(t-x)$  be the time-frequency shift of a function  $g$  by  $z = (x, \omega)$  in phase space. Gabor studied in [14] systems of the form  $\mathcal{G}(g, \theta\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}) = \{\pi(\theta k, l)g : k, l \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , so-called *Gabor systems* with *Gabor atom*  $g$ .

A natural question about Gabor systems  $\mathcal{G}(g, \theta\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z})$  for a fixed Gabor atom  $g$  is to study for which  $\theta$  the system  $\mathcal{G}(g, \theta\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z})$  is a frame. If  $g$  is well-localized in time and frequency, then  $\theta = 1$  will be excluded as for the Gaussian. It turns out that for some Gabor atoms  $g$ , such as the Gaussian or any totally positive function of finite type  $\mathcal{G}(g, \theta\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z})$  is a Gabor frame for any  $\theta$  in  $(0, 1)$ , [19, 28, 34]. On the other hand the answer for the indicator function of an interval  $[0, c]$  is much more intricate and the values  $\theta$  and  $c$  for which one gets a Gabor frame are known as Janssen's tie [11, 22]. The theorem of Balian-Low provides an explanation for these facts.

**Theorem 1.1** (Balian-Low). *Suppose the Gabor system  $\mathcal{G}(g, \mathbb{Z}^2)$  is an orthonormal basis for  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Then*

$$\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\nabla_1 g)(t)|^2 dt \right) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\nabla_2 g)(t)|^2 dt \right) = \infty.$$

In particular, the theorem of Balian-Low implies that if  $\mathcal{G}(g, \mathbb{Z}^2)$  is an orthonormal basis for  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $g$  is not well-localized in time and frequency, e.g.  $g$  cannot be in the Schwartz class  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  or in Feichtinger's algebra  $S_0(\mathbb{R})$ . The definition of Gabor systems does not indicate a link to regularity properties of the Gabor atom. Hence, the very reason for the incompatibility between orthonormal Gabor bases of the form  $\mathcal{G}(g, \mathbb{Z}^2)$  and good time-frequency localization is not well understood despite the vast literature on the Balian-Low theorem [1, 2, 3, 5, 4, 5, 7, 12, 15, 16, 17, 20, 21, 29, 30, 35]. Note

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 42C15, 58B34; Secondary 46L08, 22D25.

*Key words and phrases.* Gabor frames, noncommutative tori, gauge connections .

that some authors refer to statements of the form Theorem (1.1) as *weak* Balian-Low theorems.

The main aim of this investigation is to present an approach to Gabor frames that provides an explanation of the link between regularity properties of Gabor atoms and their behavior at the critical density. We are building on the correspondence between Gabor frames and projective modules over noncommutative tori [24, 25, 26]. The standard argument to demonstrate Theorem 1.1 is due to Battle [3]. We are demonstrating that Battle's argument is best understood in terms of noncommutative geometry.

## 2. NONCOMMUTATIVE TORI

In noncommutative geometry one attempts to define geometric objects and notions for general  $C^*$ -algebras. For our purpose we need the noncommutative torus  $\mathcal{A}_\theta$  equipped with its structure as a noncommutative manifold. We briefly recall the construction of vector bundles over noncommutative tori, which are finitely generated projective modules over  $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ , the differential structure on  $\mathcal{A}_\theta$  is given by derivations and on the vector bundles by a connection, and the notion of curvature of a connection [8].

We denote the operators  $\pi(0, 1)$  and  $\pi(\theta, 0)$  as  $M_1$  and  $T_\theta$ , respectively. Note that we have  $M_1 T_\theta = e^{2\pi i \theta} T_\theta M_1$  and hence the norm closure of  $\{\pi(k\theta, l) : k, l \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  defines the noncommutative torus  $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ , [31]. The smooth noncommutative torus is the subalgebra  $\mathcal{A}_\theta^\infty$  of  $\mathcal{A}_\theta$  consisting of operators

$$(1) \quad \pi(\mathbf{a}) = \sum_{k, l \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{kl} \pi(\theta k, l), \quad \text{for } \mathbf{a} = (a_{kl}) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}^2).$$

The standard derivations on  $\mathcal{A}_\theta$  are given by

$$\partial_1(a) = 2\pi i \sum_{k, l} k a_{kl} \pi(\theta k, l) \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_2(a) = 2\pi i \sum_{k, l} l a_{kl} \pi(\theta k, l).$$

The Schwartz space  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  turns out to be vector bundle over  $\mathcal{A}_\theta^\infty$ , [8, 25, 32].

**Proposition 2.1** (Connes). *The Schwartz space  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  is a finitely generated projective module over the smooth noncommutative torus  $\mathcal{A}_\theta^\infty$  with respect to the following  $\mathcal{A}_\theta^\infty$ -valued innerproduct and left action:*

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet \langle f, g \rangle &= \sum_{k, l \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle f, \pi(\theta k, l) g \rangle \pi(\theta k, l) \quad \text{for } f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}), \\ \mathbf{a} \cdot g &= \sum_{k, l \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{kl} \pi(\theta k, l) g \quad \text{for } \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}^2), g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}). \end{aligned}$$

Connes defined also a constant curvature connection on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ , see [8, 9], given by covariant derivatives  $\nabla_1, \nabla_2$ :

$$(2) \quad (\nabla_1 g)(t) = 2\pi i \theta^{-1} t g(t) \quad \text{and} \quad (\nabla_2 g)(t) = g'(t).$$

The covariant derivatives satisfy the left Leibniz rule

$$(3) \quad \nabla_i(a \cdot g) = (\partial_i a) \cdot g + a \cdot (\nabla_i g), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

For example, we have for  $a = \pi(\theta k, l)$  that  $\nabla_1(\pi(\theta k, l)g) = 2\pi i k \pi(\theta k, l)g + \pi(\theta k, l)\nabla_1 g$ .

The covariant derivatives are compatible with the hermitian structure of the  $\mathcal{A}_\theta^\infty$ -module  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ :

$$\partial_i(\bullet\langle f, g \rangle) = \bullet\langle \nabla_i f, g \rangle + \bullet\langle f, \nabla_i g \rangle, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Finally we observe that the connection has constant curvature:

$$(4) \quad F_{1,2} := [\nabla_1, \nabla_2] = -2\pi i \theta^{-1} \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})},$$

which acts on the left on the  $\mathcal{A}_\theta^\infty$ -module  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ , see [8, 10]. In the case of the Moyal plane we have a similar setting and the constant curvature connection provides a gauge-theoretic description of the canonical commutation relations [10], which also sheds some additional light on Battle's proof in the next section.

### 3. BALIAN-LOW THEOREM

The theorem of Connes that  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  is a finitely generated projective module over  $\mathcal{A}_\theta$  implies that there exist generators  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  such that

$$f = \bullet\langle f, g_1 \rangle g_1 + \dots + \bullet\langle f, g_n \rangle g_n \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}).$$

In this investigation we restrict our interest to the case, when the  $\mathcal{A}_\theta^\infty$ -module  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  has one generator  $g$ . In [25] it was shown that this is equivalent to the Gabor system  $\mathcal{G}(g, \theta\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z})$  to be a frame for  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , i.e. there exist constants  $A$  and  $B$ , so called frame constants, such that

$$A\|f\|_2^2 \leq \sum_{k,l} |\langle f, \pi(\theta k, l)g \rangle|^2 \leq B\|f\|_2^2 \text{ for all } f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}),$$

or equivalently, that the frame operator  $Sf := \bullet\langle f, g \rangle g$  has spectrum  $[A, B]$ .

If  $\mathcal{G}(g, \theta\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z})$  is a Gabor frame, then one has expansions of the form

$$f = \sum_{k,l} \langle f, \pi(\theta k, l)g \rangle \pi(\theta k, l)S^{-1}g = \sum_{k,l} \langle f, \pi(\theta k, l)S^{-1}g \rangle \pi(\theta k, l)g$$

for all  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . The atom  $S^{-1}g$  in the above expansions is known as the canonical dual Gabor atom. We showed in [26] that there is a correspondence between tight Gabor frames, i.e. Gabor frames  $\mathcal{G}(g, \theta\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z})$  with  $A = B$  and atoms  $g$  in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ , and projections  $p = \bullet\langle g, g \rangle$  in noncommutative tori  $\mathcal{A}_\theta^\infty$ .

The Balian-Low theorem is a statement on the finer properties of the generators for the  $\mathcal{A}_\theta^\infty$ -module  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  in the case  $\theta = 1$ . Note that  $\mathcal{A}_1$  is the commutative  $C^*$ -algebra  $C(\mathbb{T}^2)$  of continuous functions over the torus  $\mathbb{T}^2$  and that the smooth subalgebra  $\mathcal{A}_1^\infty$  is the space of smooth functions over the torus  $C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$ .

Our main contribution is to place Battle's proof of the theorem of the (weak) Balian-Low Theorem into the framework of noncommutative geometry. Namely, Battle uses the left Leibniz rule for the covariant derivations  $\nabla_1$  and  $\nabla_2$  for the time-frequency shifts  $\pi(k, l)$  and that the connection defined by  $\nabla_1, \nabla_2$  has constant curvature  $-2\pi i$ .

We present now Battle's argument for a more general formulation of the theorem of Balian-Low [17].

**Theorem 3.1** (Weak-Balian-Low). *Suppose  $\mathcal{G}(g, \theta\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z})$  for a  $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  is a Riesz basis for  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  and we denote by  $h$  the canonical dual Gabor atom  $S^{-1}g$ . Then either  $\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\nabla_1 g)(t)|^2 dt\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\nabla_2 g)(t)|^2 dt\right) = \infty$  or  $\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\nabla_1 h)(t)|^2 dt\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\nabla_2 h)(t)|^2 dt\right) = \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\nabla_i g$  and  $\nabla_i h$  are in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Then the left Leibniz rule for  $\nabla_1$  applied to  $\pi(k, l)$  implies that

$$\nabla_1(\pi(k, l)g) = 2\pi i k \pi(k, l)g + \pi(k, l)\nabla_1 g.$$

Now, the biorthogonality of  $g$  and  $h$  yields that the second term on the right hand side vanishes

$$\langle \nabla_1 g, \pi(k, l)h \rangle = \langle g, \nabla_1 \pi(k, l)h \rangle = 2\pi i k \langle g, \pi(k, l)h \rangle + \langle g, \pi(k, l)\nabla_1 h \rangle$$

and consequently

$$\langle \nabla_1 g, \pi(k, l)h \rangle = \langle \pi(-k, -l)g, \nabla_1 h \rangle$$

and in a similar manner one shows that  $\langle \pi(k, l)g, \nabla_2 h \rangle = \langle \nabla_2 g, \pi(-k, -l)h \rangle$ . By assumption  $\mathcal{G}(g, \theta\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z})$  is a Riesz basis for  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $h = S^{-1}g$  is the unique dual Gabor atom. Hence we have

$$\nabla_1 g = \sum_{k,l} \langle \nabla_1 g, \pi(k, l)h \rangle \pi(k, l)g \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_2 h = \sum_{k,l} \langle \nabla_2 h, \pi(k, l)g \rangle \pi(k, l)h.$$

We use these relations to derive at a contradiction to the fact that  $\nabla_1, \nabla_2$  is a constant curvature connection on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla_1 g, \nabla_2 h \rangle &= \left\langle \sum_{k,l} \langle \nabla_1 g, \pi(k, l)h \rangle \pi(k, l)g, \nabla_2 h \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{k,l} \langle \pi(-k, -l)g, \nabla_1 h \rangle \langle \nabla_2 g, \pi(-k, -l)h \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \nabla_2 h, \sum_{k,l} \langle \nabla_1 g, \pi(k, l)h \rangle \pi(k, l)g \right\rangle \\ (5) \quad &= \langle \nabla_2 h, \nabla_1 g \rangle \end{aligned}$$

implies that the curvature  $F_{1,2} = \nabla_1 \nabla_2 - \nabla_2 \nabla_1$  has a kernel as an operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  that vanishes. Hence we have arrived at a contradiction to the assumption  $\nabla_i g$  and  $\nabla_i h$  are in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .  $\square$

In particular, the Balian-Low theorem implies that a  $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  cannot generate a Riesz basis of the form  $\mathcal{G}(g, \mathbb{Z}^2)$ . For  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  there exist Schwartz functions, e.g. the Gaussian  $g(t) = e^{-\pi t^2}$ , that generate Gabor frames for  $\theta\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ . These Gabor frames may be used to construct non-trivial projections in  $\mathcal{A}_\theta$  with trace  $\theta$ , see [26]. In contrast to the commutative case, where  $\mathcal{A}_{\theta=1}$  only has the trivial projections  $p = 0$  and  $p = I$ . Recall that  $\mathcal{A}_1^\infty$  is Morita equivalent to  $C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$ . Hence one may conclude

that the generators of line bundles over noncommutative tori  $\mathcal{A}_\theta^\infty$  for  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  are more well-behaved, then the line bundles over  $C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$ .

**Theorem 3.2** (Weak-Balian-Low-Revisited). *Let  $g$  be a generator of the  $\mathcal{A}_1^\infty = C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$ -module  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ . Suppose the generator yields a Riesz basis for  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $h = S^{-1}g$  its canonical dual atom. Then either  $\nabla_1 g$  or  $\nabla_2 h$  are not  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ .*

Finally, there is an extension of the Balian-Low theorem to the case of Gabor-Riesz bases generated by atoms in Feichtinger's algebra  $S_0(\mathbb{R})$ , see [1, 18]. Consequently, the preceding statement also holds for singly-generated projective  $S_0(\mathbb{R})$  modules over the subalgebra  $\mathcal{A}_\theta^1 = \{\mathbf{a} = \sum_{k,l \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{kl} \pi(\theta k, l) : a \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)\}$  of  $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ . Note that  $\mathcal{A}_1^1$  is the Wiener algebra  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}^2)$  of absolutely convergent Fourier series.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $g$  be a generator of the  $\mathcal{A}_1^1 = \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{T}^2)$ -module  $S_0(\mathbb{R})$ . Then  $g$  is not in  $S_0(\mathbb{R})$ .*

There is an argument based on results from operator algebras, which is due to one of the reviewers. We know from [25, 26] that generators of  $\mathcal{A}_\theta^1$  modules are given by Gabor frames with generators in  $S_0(\mathbb{R})$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $g \in S_0(\mathbb{R})$  generates a Gabor frame  $\mathcal{G}(g, \mathbb{Z}^2)$ . Then its canonical dual atom  $h$  is also in  $S_0(\mathbb{R})$  and

$$p = \sum_{k,l \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \langle g, \pi(k, l)h \rangle \pi(k, l)$$

defines a projection in  $\mathcal{A}_1^1$ . Hence  $S_0(\mathbb{R})$  is an  $\mathcal{A}_1^1$ -module and this projection represents  $S_0(\mathbb{R})$  in the  $K_0(\mathcal{A}_1^1)$ , the  $K_0$  group of the algebra of absolutely convergent Fourier series. We know that  $K_0(\mathcal{A}_1^1)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  and has two generators. One generator corresponds to the trivial element 1 and the other to the Bott element. The projective module defined by  $S_0(\mathbb{R})$  above corresponds to the Bott element, as can be seen by a computation of the Connes-Chern character [32]. However, since the  $C^*$ -completion of  $\mathcal{A}_1^1$  is isomorphic to  $C(\mathbb{T}^2)$ . We know that there cannot be any non-trivial projections in  $C(\mathbb{T}^2)$  since  $\mathbb{T}^2$  is connected. Thus we have a contradiction, as the projection  $p$  above would be non-trivial.  $\square$

This argument extends to higher dimensional symplectic lattices [17], as well in much the same way, since time-frequency shifts from symplectic lattices generate commutative algebras, which leads naturally to topological obstructions. This kind of reasoning might allow one to reproduce Gautam's results in [16] by defining modules over Sobolev spaces on noncommutative tori, as in [33].

Note how the argument fails when we have a multi-window Gabor frame for  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  generated by  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  in  $S_0(\mathbb{R})$ . In that case, we can define a projection  $P = (p_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$  in the matrix algebra  $M_n(C(\mathbb{T}^2))$  over  $C(\mathbb{T}^2)$ :

$$p_{ij} = \sum_{k,l \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle g_i, \pi(k, l)h_j \rangle \pi(k, l),$$

where  $h_j$  denotes the canonical dual Gabor atom to the  $j$ th Gabor atom  $g_j$ . An elementary computation shows that  $P$  is a projection in  $M_n(C(\mathbb{T}^2))$ , which does not yield a contradiction, because there are non-trivial projections in  $M_n(C(\mathbb{T}^2))$  for  $n \geq 2$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Herewith, I would like to thank one of the reviewers for his excellent report where he gave a proof of Theorem 3.2 and the comments following the proof.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] G. Ascensi, H. G. Feichtinger, and N. Kaiblinger. Dilation of the Weyl symbol and Balian-Low theorem. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 366(7):3865–3880, 2014.
- [2] R. Balian. Un principe d’incertitude fort en théorie du signal ou en mécanique quantique. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. II Méc. Phys. Chim. Sci. Univers Sci. Terre*, 292(20):1357–1362, 1981.
- [3] G. Battle. Heisenberg proof of the Balian-Low theorem. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 15(2):175–177, 1988.
- [4] J. J. Benedetto, W. Czaja, and A. Y. Maltsev. The Balian-Low theorem for the symplectic form on  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ . *J. Math. Phys.*, 44(4):1735–1750, 2003.
- [5] J. J. Benedetto, W. Czaja, and A. M. Powell. An optimal example for the Balian-Low uncertainty principle. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 38(1):333–345, 2006.
- [6] J. J. Benedetto, W. Czaja, A. M. Powell, and J. Sterbenz. An endpoint  $(1, \infty)$  Balian-Low theorem. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 13(3):467–474, 2006.
- [7] J. J. Benedetto, C. Heil, and D. F. Walnut. Differentiation and the Balian-Low theorem. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 1(4):355–402, 1995.
- [8] A. Connes.  $C^*$ -algèbres et géométrie différentielle. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B*, 290(13):A599–A604, 1980.
- [9] A. Connes. *Noncommutative geometry*. Academic Press Inc., San Diego, CA, 1994.
- [10] L. Dabrowski, G. Landi and F. Luef. Sigma-model solitons on noncommutative spaces. *arXiv:1501.02331*, 2015.
- [11] X.-R. Dai and Q. Sun. The *abc*-problem for Gabor systems. *arXiv:1304.7750*, 2013.
- [12] I. Daubechies and A. J. E. M. Janssen. Two theorems on lattice expansions. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 39(1):3–6, 1993.
- [13] M. Frank and D. R. Larson. Frames in Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules and  $C^*$ -algebras. *J. Operator Theory*, 48(2):273–314, 2002.
- [14] D. Gabor. Theory of communication. *J. IEE*, 93(26):429–457, 1946.
- [15] J.-P. Gabardo and D. Han. Balian-Low phenomenon for subspace Gabor frames. *J. Math. Phys.*, 45(8):3362–3378, 2004.
- [16] S. Z. Gautam. A critical-exponent Balian-Low theorem. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 15(3):471–783, 2008.
- [17] K. Gröchenig, D. Han, C. Heil, and G. Kutyniok. The Balian-Low theorem for symplectic lattices in higher dimensions. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, 13(2):169–176, 2002.
- [18] K. Gröchenig and E. Malinnikova. Phase space localization of Riesz bases for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.*, 29(1):115–134, 2013.
- [19] K. Gröchenig and J. Stöckler. Gabor frames and totally positive functions. *Duke Math. J.*, 162(6):1003–1031, 2013.
- [20] K. Gröchenig, J. Ortega Cerdà, and J. L. Romero. Deformation of Gabor systems. *Adv. Math.*, 277(4):388–425, 2015.
- [21] C. Heil and A. M. Powell. Gabor Schauder bases and the Balian-Low theorem. *J. Math. Phys.*, 47(11):113506, 21 p., 2006.
- [22] A. J. E. M. Janssen. Zak transforms with few zeros and the tie. In H. G. Feichtinger and T. Strohmer, editors, *Advances in Gabor Analysis*, Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis, pages 31–70. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2003.

- [23] F. Low. Complete sets of wave packets. In C. DeTar, J. Finkelstein, and C. Tan, editors, *A Passion for Physics - Essays in Honor of Geoffrey Chew*, pages 17–22. World Scientific, Singapore, 1985.
- [24] F. Luef. Gabor analysis, noncommutative tori and Feichtinger’s algebra. In *Gabor and wavelet frames*, volume 10 of *Lect. Notes Ser. Inst. Math. Sci. Natl. Univ. Singap.*, pages 77–106. World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, 2007.
- [25] F. Luef. Projective modules over noncommutative tori are multi-window Gabor frames for modulation spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 257(6):1921–1946, 2009.
- [26] F. Luef. Projections in noncommutative tori and Gabor frames. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 139(2):571–582, 2011.
- [27] F. Luef and Y. I. Manin. Quantum theta functions and Gabor frames for modulation spaces. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 88(1-3):131–161, 2009.
- [28] Y. I. Lyubarskii. Frames in the Bargmann space of entire functions. In *Entire and Subharmonic Functions*, volume 11 of *Adv. Sov. Math.*, pages 167–180. American Mathematical Society (AMS), Providence, RI, 1992.
- [29] S. Nitzan and J.-F. Olsen. From exact systems to Riesz bases in the Balian-Low theorem. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 17(4):567–603, 2011.
- [30] S. Nitzan and J.-F. Olsen. A Quantitative Balian-Low Theorem. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 19(5):1078–1092, 2013.
- [31] M. A. Rieffel.  $C^*$ -algebras associated with irrational rotations. *Pacific J. Math.*, 93:415–429, 1981.
- [32] M. A. Rieffel. Projective modules over higher-dimensional noncommutative tori. *Can. J. Math.*, 40(2):257–338, 1988.
- [33] J. Rosenberg. Noncommutative variations on Laplace’s equation. *Anal. PDE*, 1(1):95–114, 2008.
- [34] K. Seip. Density theorems for sampling and interpolation in the Bargmann-Fock space. I. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 429:91–106, 1992.
- [35] R. Tinaztepe and C. Heil. Modulation spaces, BMO, and the Balian-Low theorem. *Sampling Theory in Signal & Image Processing*, 11(1), 2012.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NTNU TRONDHEIM, 7041 TRONDHEIM, NORWAY  
*E-mail address:* `franz.luef@math.ntnu.no`